228-07/WLJ					
FREEHILL HOGAN & MAH					
Attorneys for Plaintiff					
ESSAR INTERNATIONAL					
80 Pine Street					
New York, NY 10005	(212) 425-1900 (212) 425-1901				
William L. Juska (WJ 0772)	,				
Manuel A. Molina (MM1017	)				
UNITED STATES DISTRIC SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF	NEW YORK				
ESSAR INTERNATIONAL		X AFFIDAVI MANUEL A. I			
	Plaintiff,	****			
-against-		07 Civ. 3439 (	WHP)		
MARTRADE GULF LOGIS	TICS FZCO,				
	Defendant.				
State of New York )		X			
County of New York )					

MANUEL A. MOLINA, being duly sworn, deposes and says as follows:

- 1. I am an associate with the law firm of Freehill Hogan & Mahar LLP, attorneys for plaintiff Essar International Limited ("ESSAR").
- 2. This Affidavit is submitted in further opposition to the Motion of Marlog-LBG Logistics GmbH ("MARLOG") to vacate a certain attachment of \$157,000 restrained by Deutsche Bank pursuant to the Process of Maritime Attachment and Garnishment issued in this action.

4. Attached hereto as Exhibit B is a copy of a decision of the Hon. Judge Loretta A. Preska in *Losinjka Plovidba v. Azelie Corp.*, No. 06-13627 (S.D.N.Y. Jan 29, 2007).

Manuel A. Molina (MM 1017)

Sworn to before me this 11<sup>th</sup> day of July, 2007

Notary Public

CLARE HENRY
Notary Public, State of New York
No. 01HE4831488
Qualified in Kings County
Certificate in New York County
Commission Expires October 31, 2009

NYDOCS1/286621.1 2

# **EXHIBIT A**

### to graeme lloyd of winter, london, copy: klaus maassen from martrade / uwe kornol

re:essar / lindos / arrest usd 157.000



enclosed please see payment-order from mgl-dubai / executed from marlog-account.

bst rgds uwe kornol duesseldorf, 8.6.07

#### Suez Canal Proforma Tolls

Name of Vessel	Grand Glory	
Туре	Bulk Carrier	Laden
SCNT	22574.84	SC ID No: 20903

Torofttom	Rate in SDR	Total SDR	US\$ Rate	Amt in US\$	Remarks
Tons/Item 5000	7.65	38250	1.53		
5000	4.5	22500		ili. Linka nasayanc	
10000		34000			
20000		0			
30000	0	. 0		ARM HOPECH IN	
2574.84	1.15	2961		ikum zekusu	
Total Tolls		97711		149,498	SCA circular 01/2003
Additional Tolis				0	
Mooring & Projector				1,882	Canal Mooring and Lights Company
Maritime Auth Fees	истиранизация	तकातम् नासकः ।।।।।।।।।।।।।।।।।।।।।।।।।।।।।।।।।।।।	UPSTRUMPHE		Decree 332/03
Port Utilisation fees	CANDAN PARTIES	remeries de	amaj manida		US\$ 200 / 250
Light dues Port Said				1,179	5 cents per SCNT +USD 50 misc items
Light dues Suez				1,179	5 cents per SCNT +USD 50 misc items
Port dues		is a minimum of the	in in the second se	746	3 cents/SCNT +5% of invoice+USD 35 misc items
Pilotage		premaring	11012011012111112	311	Port Tariff
Seamens Club		цери Априли	ingeligetile		Decree 332/03
Quarantine		1-11-2-11-2-11-	or zavedni.		Port Tariff
Customs				20	Port Tariff
Port Police dues	rasalitika sing salutusi			25	
ISS Agency Fees					Agency Fee
Agency charges	HATTER HINESTER				Lumpsum charge to include all items like
				duca cancan	Garbage, Bank charges, overtime
Total to be remitted	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	uss	157165	)]

### Note: Latest USD/SDR rate can be obtained from www.imf.org

Contacts:

Tel Off: +202 22683852 Fax: +202 22683850

Email:

iss.egypt@iss-shipping.com

Management ashok.k@iss-shipping.com

POC and AOH Numbers

Ashok Kurnar, Gen Mgr Mobile: +2012 313 0669 Res: +202 5196698

Nabil: Ops Mgr

Mobile: +2012 3280372 Res: +202 6070555

### Bankers Full Style for Remittance of funds:

Commercial International Bank, Port Said Branch, Egypt Favouring Inchcape Shipping Services, Acc No 0250307451

Under tested telex to Commercial Intenational Bank, Port Said Tix No 63196 CNPS UN.

SWIFT CODE: CIBEEGCX002

Inchcape Port Said - Payment advise

## **PAYMENT ORDER**

Payee

: Martrade Gulf Logistics FZCO

Ammount

: USD 157,165.00

Bank of Beneficiary

: COMMERCIAL INTERNATIONAL BANK, PORT SAID

BRANCH, EGYPT

F/O INCHCAPE SHIPPING SERVICES

PORT SAID

SWIFT: CIB EEG CXE 002

Beneficiary

: INCHCAPE SHIPPING SERVICES

Town

: PORT SAID

Country

: EGYPT

Account No.

: 025 030 7451

Refference

: MV.GRAND GLORY

7807 Voy

PDA SUEZ

157,165.00

To be paid on

: 01.06.2007

Prepared by

: Krishnan 01.06.2007

Dago 1 of 1

6/1/2007, 6:08 PM

# **EXHIBIT B**

#### 71TALOSD.txt

1 71TALOSDps UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 1122334 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK LOSINJKA PLOVIDBA, Plaintiff, 45566 06 CV 13627 (LAP) ν. AZELIE CORPORATION and 7 CARGOBULK PTE LTD., Defendants. 8 8 9 9 January 29, 2007 10 5:40 p.m. 10 11 11 12 Before: 12 13 13 14 14 HON. LORETTA A. PRESKA District Judge 15 15 **APPEARANCES** 16 FREEHILL HOGAN & MAHAR
Attorneys for Plaintiff 16 17 MICHAEL E. UNGER LAWRENCE J. KAHN 17 BY: 18 18

Deorchis, Wiener & Partners LLP Attorneys for Defendant Cargobulk BY: CHRISTOPHER H. MANSUY

19 19

20

20

21 22

23 24 25

> 123456789 10

> 11

12

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

2

71TALOSDPS

(In open court; discussion held off the record)

THE COURT: Counsel are here today for the second round of argument on an attachment effected in early December. The first question on the table was whether Azelie has a property interest in the attached funds. It seems pretty clear that Azelie chartered the M/V EBER from Sohtorik. The agreement between those two parties required that payments made by Azelie be made to Hellas. By December 5, 2006, Azelie had a debt to Sohtorik for, among other things, charter hire for the M/V EBER in the amount of some \$403,000. Azelie instructed Cargobulk, or Cargobulk was otherwise obligated by some agreement with Azelie, to pay Hellas the \$403,000 in Page 1

71TALOSD.txt

satisfaction of Azelie's debt to Sohtorik. As those funds were transferred, that money transfer was captured pursuant to the order in this action. The question on the table, as I mentioned, is whether or not Azelie has a property interest in those funds.

Here, it's clear first of all that under the rule, property interests attachable have been very broadly defined. It is also clear that in this circuit, electronic funds transfers to or from a party are attachable as they pass through the banks located in the court's jurisdiction. Here, the undisputed fact that the payment was made on behalf of Azelie by Cargobulk seems to represent a sufficient property interest to be attached within the meaning of the rule and some SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

3

4

71TALOSDps of the cases from this district, for example, Hamburg Bulk Carriers v. Proteinas y Oleicos, 2005 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 8009 (S.D.N.Y., May 4, 2005); Engineering Equipment Co. v. S.S. SELENE, 446 F.Supp. 706 (S.D.N.Y. 1978). Accordingly, I find that Azelie had a property interest that could properly have been attached in the funds.

In addition, counsel argue about whether or not plaintiff has made a prima facie showing sufficient to demonstrate that -- off the record.

(Discussion held off the record)

THE COURT: Cargobulk is the alter ego of Azelie. Counsel have cited particularly to Ulisses Shipping Corp. v. FAL Shipping Co. Ltd., 415 F.Supp.2d 318, 322-23 (S.D.N.Y. 2006), and there, the Court held that at a post-attachment hearing, a plaintiff asserting corporate alter egos need not definitively establish dominion and control but must present enough evidence to convince the court that there are reasonable grounds for piercing the corporate veil. In that case, the Court relied on a showing that one entity paid the debts of the other and the two entities had overlapping ownership, management, and purposes. Here, we have a similar showing as between Azelie and Cargobulk. Indeed, much of the overlap is admitted in Mr. Wong Kim Sen's declaration. There is no question here but that the \$403,000 payment was attempted by Cargobulk on behalf of Azelie.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

71TALOSDps

It also appears from the Walters declaration that Azelie has no actual existence but is a corporate shell and has capitalization of one Singapore dollar, or approximately 65 cents.

Off the record.

(Discussion held off the record) THE COURT: In addition, Mr. Wong points out in paragraph 12 of his deposition, for example, that Cargobulk as managers and agents of Azelie will make advance payments on behalf of and on instructions from Azelie. And he goes on to say that Azelie will thereafter repay and reimburse cargo bulk. However, there does not appear to be any evidence of that.

Given the undisputed facts of interlocking ownership, common management, and the nayment of debts on hebalf of

common management, and the payment of debts on behalf of Azelie, plaintiff at this point has made a prima facie showing of an alter ego situation sufficient to demonstrate reasonable grounds for piercing the corporate veil. Accordingly, the Page 2

ū

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20 21

22

23

24

25

1 3

8 9 10

11 12 13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21 22 23

24

25

11 12 13

14 1,5 16

17

```
71TALOSD.txt
                 attachment will be continued.
         18
         19
                             Counsel, would you confer and let me know within the
                 week how you would like to proceed.
         20
                             MR. UNGER: Will do, your Honor.
         21
         22
                             THE COURT: Anything else today?
         23
                             MR. MANSUY: Yes, your Honor.
                             THE COURT: Yes, sir.
MR. MANSUY: I'm still not clear which way the alter
         24
         25
                                     SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
                                                   (212) 805-0300
                                                                                                 5
0
                 71TALOSDDS
                               If Cargobulk is the alter ego of Azelie, then
          1
                 ego goes.
          2
3
                 Cargobulk capitalization is irrelevant, I think.
                             THE COURT: It is Azelie's capitalization that was at
          4
5
6
7
                 65 cents.
                             MR. MANSUY: Walters was observing something about
                 Cargobulk's capitalization.
                                             All right. Let me find him.
                             THE COURT:
                 MR. UNGER: That is correct, your Honor. Mr was making that observation on the part of Cargobulk.
          8
                                                                                     Mr. Walters
          9
                 THE COURT: Well, let me just say this, counsel. Nevertheless, the material in Mr. Walters' declaration, for
         10
         11
         12
13
                 example, paragraph 11, all of Azelie's activities appear to be
                 controlled on a day-to-day basis through Cargobulk in Singapore
                 or through others of its offices. He goes on to give some detail there. But it does seem to me that there is certainly a
         14
         15
                 prima facie showing of alter ego at this point in time.

MR. MANSUY: Well, there is no suggestion, and indeed
the management agreement says, that Cargobulk will manage the
affairs of Azelie. That's not a mystery. The question is
         16
17
         18
         19
         20
                 whether that is then enough to say that Cargobulk is
                 responsible for the debts of Azelie, which is what they are
         21
                 alleging.
         22
                 THE COURT: Yes, sir. Mr. Walters' detail does seem to provide enough information for a prima facie showing.
         \overline{23}
         24
                 Obviously that's not a finding at this point.
                                     SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
                                                   (212) 805-0300
                                                                                                  6
71TALOSDps
                             MR. MANSUY: OK. And I believe your Honor also
          123456789
                 mentioned that there was no evidence of remittance, I think you
                 said there was no evidence of remittance.
                             THE COURT: Right. I was referring to Mr. Wong's
                 affidavit, sir.
                             MR. MANSUY: Right. There is attached, the fifth page
                 of Exhibit 5, the sixth page of Exhibit 5 is an e-mail reflecting the transfer of a substantial amount of money, reflecting charter payments due on other unrelated vessels.

THE COURT: The fifth or the sixth? I'm sorry.

MR. MANSUY: It's two pages. One, the first page, is
         10
         11
                 an e-mail dated December 1, 2006, from Cargobulk to Azelie.
         12
13
                             THE COURT: Of Exhibit 5.
                                              Yes, to the Wong affidavit. The last That's two pages.
         14
                             MR. MANSUY:
         15
                 exhibit is Exhibit 6.
         16
17
                             THE COURT: Yes, sir. MR. MANSUY: And then the --
                                             The one before it is 5.
          18
                             THE COURT:
                                               Right. And the last two pages of Exhibit
         19
                             MR. MANSUY:
                 5 reflect the transfer.
          2,0
         21
                             THE COURT: Yes, sir. December 1, 2006.
                             MR. MANSUY: That's memorializing the transfer from
         22
```

Page 3

```
71TALOSD.txt
         23
                 Azelie to Cargobulk. And the details are shown on the
         24
                 following page.
         25
                              THE COURT: Yes, sir. I stand corrected.
                                                                                         There is
                                       SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
                                                     (212) 805-0300
                                                                                                     7
71TALOSDps
           1
                  some indication of reimbursement.
           3
                              Anything else to add?
                              MR. MANSUY: Just a question, your Honor.
                  from the decision you've rendered that you consider the
           4
5
6
7
                 argument with regard to the plaintiff's burden of showing some
                  element of fraud as a nonstarter.
                              THE COURT: I do understand that to be based primarily
           8
                  in the state law and not the federal common law. However, if
                 we were going to pursue that, it does seem that the course of negotiations set out in Mr. Magas' declaration certainly shows reliance on Cargobulk and Azelie, and their agreements to pay. In particular he discusses the need to move the perishable cargo. He notes that the individuals confirmed that they were negotiating on behalf of Azelie except for, in his declaration,
           9
          10
          11
          12
         13
         14
                 Mr. Wong says that he's a Cargobulk employee. It's clear from
         15
                  the course of the negotiations, plaintiff's reliance on both
         16
                 Azelie and Cargobulk for payment, the necessity of having all
three parties together to minimize damage, and finally the
         17
         18
                 conclusion in paragraphs 24 and 25. So to the extent that that additional requirement is necessary, it does seem to have been met at least on a prima facie basis here.
         19
20
21
22
23
                              Anything else, gents?
MR. MANSUY: I would just like you to note, your
                  Honor, that in paragraph 14, Mr. Magas is actually talking of
          24
          25
                  the three people, as I understand it, the plaintiff, its time
                                       SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
                                                     (212) 805-0300
D
                  71TALOSDps
                  charterer, Cross World, and Azelie as Cross World's
          123456789
10
                  subcharterer.
                              THE COURT: 13 or 14, sir?
MR. MANSUY: Yes, your Honor.
THE COURT: 13 or 14 are you referring to?
                              MR. MANSUY: I'm sorry. 14. I believe that that is
                  the triangle that he is speaking of.
                              THE COURT: well, certainly Cross world is involved.
                  But at least as represented by Mr. Magas, the authorization was
                  both to Azelie and Cargobulk to pay the amount owed directly to
          11
12
13
                  plaintiff.
                  MR. MANSUY: Well, there's no evidence in any of the exhibits to his declaration of that fact, your Honor.
                              THE COURT: All right, sir
          14
                              All right, gentlemen. I'll look for your letter
          15
                 within the week if you would, please.

MR. UNGER: Yes, your Honor.

MR. MANSUY: Your Honor, one thing. Mr. Wong is out of Singapore, and I had some difficulty contacting him. I will
          16
          17
          18
          19
          20
                  try to impress upon everybody in their office to get ahold of
          21
22
23
                  him.
                               THE COURT: If you need more time, tell me. I know
                  how difficult it is to confer with those folks 12 hours off.
                              Thank you gentlemen.
          24
          25
                                                            000
                                       SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
                                                     (212) 805-0300
```

Page 4